

ARBOR SAPIENTIAE s.r.1.

Editore e Distributore specializzato in Scienze Umanistiche www.arborsapientiae.com - info@arborsapientiae.com sede legale Via Bernardo Barbiellini Amidei, 80 00168 Roma ***

DAAM PEER REVIEW EVALUATION REPORT

Paper/Monograph

(1.0)

This is to inform you that your name has been put forward by the Editors of (website:) to act as a referee for the enclosed article/monograph. You are kindly requested to read it carefully and to forward your advice to the Editors by e-mail within three weeks. Should you have already evaluated elsewhere the enclosed paper/monograph, please let us know and turn down the present engagement. Your name will be kept strictly confidential, as much as the author's name. We are accordingly removing from the enclosed paper/monograph any detail which might lead to identify the author. Your comments and suggestions will be forwarded to the Author, pointing out that they are expert advice from a specialist of the discipline.
Please fill in the following 4 forms by highlighting the relevant items, writing any remarks in each corresponding field and adding free comments and suggestions in the final paragraph. Direct references as detailed as possible to the text of the article are welcome. Thank you very much for your cooperation.
*** ***
Title of the refereed paper/monograph:
Referee:
Sent on: Returned on:
*** ***
Subject 1. Relevance of the subject for the history of the discipline:
Weak Satisfying Strong Remarks:
2. Relevance of the topic for the specialized sector:
Weak Satisfying Strong Remarks:

		tribution of the article ctory or review article):		monograph), or effectiveness a	and helpfulness (in	
	Weak	Satisfying		Strong		
Rem	arks:	, 0				
	earch					
1. Ac		tlining the methodolog		C +		
Re	Weak emarks:	Improvable	Adequate	Strong		
2. O ₁	riginality and	qualities of the propose	ed results:			
Re	Weak emarks:	Improvable	Adequate	Strong		
_		and Writing form ency and inclusivity of o	outline and argument			
Re	Weak emarks:	Improvable	Adequate	Strong		
2. Ho		ing form adequate for				
Re	Weak emarks:	Improvable	Adequate	Strong		
3. W	riting clarity :	and appropriateness of	language			
Rem	Weak arks:	Improvable	Adequate	Strong		
Ove	rall Evaluati	on				
<u>Final</u>	ly, is the text	fit for publication in	?			
[1]	Vos it asa	he published as it store	de			
[1] [2]	Yes, it can be published as it stands. Yes, it can be published without further reviews, but for some limited corrections, as they are spelt ou in the enclosed remarks.					
[3]	Yes, it can be published, provided the author faces and solves the problems that I have raised in m remarks, and after my second review.					
[4]		ticle does not seem fit		·		

Free Remarks

Your remarks will be forwarded anonymously to the author and, in case of an at least partially positive evaluation, will help him/her in revising the article. If you believe that revisions (however heavy) are needed, you are kindly requested to point out any detected flaw and to suggested the relevant revisions.

Even if you do not believe that the contribution fits the journal standards (answer [4]) you are kindly requested to briefly explain the reasons of your evaluation.

Date Signature

Please e-mail your correspondence to:

ARBOR SAPIENTIAE S.r.1.

Editore e Distributore specializzato in Scienze Umanistiche sede legale Via Bernardo Barbiellini Amidei, 80 00168 Roma

contatti/contact:

info@arborsapientiae.com ordini@arborsapientiae.com seriali@arborsapientiae.com www.arborsapientiae.com tel +39 - 346/8424032